arXiv:1412.2307v1 [astro-ph.SR] 7 Dec 2014

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY A

rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research

Article submitted to journal

Subject Areas:
astrophysics, solar physics

Keywords:
heliosphere, plasma physics, solar atmosphere, solar corona, solar wind, turbulence

Author for correspondence:
Steven R. Cranmer
e-mail: scranmer@cfa.harvard.edu

The Role of Turbulence in Coronal Heating and Solar Wind Expansion

Steven R. Cranmer[1,2], Mahboubeh Asgari-Targhi[1], Mari Paz Miralles[1], John C. Raymond[1], Leonard Strachan[1,3], Hui Tian[1], and Lauren N. Woolsey[1]

[1]Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
[2]Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 8030, USA (as of January 2015)
[3]Naval Research Laboratory, Space Science Division, Code 7684, Washington, DC 20375, USA (current affiliation)

Plasma in the Sun's hot corona expands into the heliosphere as a supersonic and highly magnetized solar wind. This paper provides an overview of our current understanding of how the corona is heated and how the solar wind is accelerated. Recent models of magnetohydrodynamic turbulence have progressed to the point of successfully predicting many observed properties of this complex, multi-scale system. However, it is not clear whether the heating in open-field regions comes mainly from the dissipation of turbulent fluctuations that are launched from the solar surface, or whether the chaotic "magnetic carpet" in the low corona energizes the system via magnetic reconnection. To help pin down the physics, we also review some key observational results from ultraviolet spectroscopy of the collisionless outer corona.

Royal Society Publishing
Informing the science of the future

© The Author(s) Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The origin of the Sun's supersonic and turbulent solar wind is linked intimately to the existence of the high-temperature ($T > 10^6$ K) solar corona. There is still no comprehensive understanding of the physical processes that generate both the coronal heating and the solar wind's acceleration. The early history of these unsolved problems reaches back more than a century (see Hufbauer, 1991; Parker, 2001). Parker (1958) combined many of the existing observational clues to synthesize a single-fluid model of time-steady acceleration, in which gravity was counteracted by the large gas pressure gradient of the million-degree corona. Just a few years later, *Mariner 2* confirmed the existence of a continuous solar wind with roughly the properties predicted by Parker (e.g., Neugebauer and Snyder, 1966).

Early *in situ* measurements showed a range of plasma conditions at 1 AU, including: (1) a slow, dense, and highly variable component ($v \approx 300$ km s^{-1}) that dominates in the ecliptic plane, (2) calmer, but wave-filled high-speed streams ($v \approx 700$ km s^{-1}), and (3) occasional explosive disruptions ("coronal mass ejections") accompanied by distorted fields and geomagnetic storms. Each of these phenomena was later found to correlate reasonably well with distinct solar features identified via remote sensing—e.g., (1) streamers and "quiet Sun," (2) coronal holes, and (3) flares and active regions, respectively—but there are frequent exceptions to these general associations. The different coronal source regions have dramatically distinct appearances, plasma properties, and spatial/temporal variability. Thus, it is likely that the heliospheric plasma that comes from these regions is energized by *different* combinations of mechanisms. In other words, there is probably not one single piece of physics that will "solve the coronal heating problem" once and for all.

Much of the remainder of this paper will review the current state of debate regarding which physical processes are most active in the acceleration regions of the slow and fast solar wind. However, we do not want to overstate the degree of controversy. It is important to note that nearly everyone agrees on the following basic elements:

(i) There is more than enough energy in the Sun's convective overturning motions to power the corona and solar wind.
(ii) Convective granulation acts as a major "lower boundary condition" for the injection of energy into the topologically complex magnetic field.
(iii) Magnetic energy is (somehow) transported up to coronal heights and becomes organized into small-scale, nonlinear, and largely field-aligned plasma structures.
(iv) Magnetic and/or kinetic energy is (somehow) converted irreversibly to thermal energy by a combination of Coulomb collisions and collisionless wave-particle interactions. This conversion probably occurs most efficiently in regions with sharp spatial gradients of the plasma properties.
(v) The high coronal temperature gives rise to a strong divergence of the pressure tensor, which in turn drives the dominant outward acceleration of the solar wind. Some other acceleration components are probably necessary to accelerate the fastest solar wind streams from coronal holes.

This paper presents a review of the authors' perspective on the above ideas and is not a comprehensive overview of the literature.

In Section 2 we discuss the importance of understanding the photospheric lower boundary condition in various turbulent coronal heating scenarios. Section 3 summarizes models based on the dissipation of waves and turbulent eddies along open field lines. Section 4 summarizes models based on magnetic reconnection and the opening up of closed loops. Section 5 reviews observations that point to efficient collisionless kinetic processes acting in the solar wind's acceleration region. Section 6 concludes with a brief summary of how studying turbulence in the solar corona has helped improve our broader understanding of other issues in astrophysics and plasma physics.



Figure 1. Cartoon representation of coronal magnetic field expansion, inspired by similar figures from Gabriel (1976), Peter (2001), Cranmer and van Ballegooijen (2005), and Tian et al. (2010). *Top:* On supergranular scales the field is concentrated most strongly in the bright chromospheric network. Flux tubes expand laterally with increasing height to form a funnel/canopy structure. *Bottom:* On smaller scales, the field is concentrated into intergranular flux tubes that are jostled by convection and experience MHD turbulence. Granulation image adapted from Abramenko et al. (2011), and turbulent flux-tube cross sections adapted from Asgari-Targhi et al. (2013).

2. Photospheric energy sources

The Sun's visible surface is threaded ubiquitously by magnetic fields, and these fields are believed to expand out through the solar corona and fill the heliosphere. However, accurate and regular measurements of the magnetic field are possible only at the photosphere (with spectroscopic Zeeman splitting and other polarization diagnostics) and in distant regions sampled by *in situ* magnetometer probes. Our knowledge of the coronal magnetic field comes mainly from model-based extrapolation techniques (e.g., Hoeksema and Scherrer, 1986; Wiegelmann et al., 2005).

On the largest spatial scales (of order 0.1 to 1 solar radii, $R_\odot$) the photospheric field is organized into low-order multipole components and active regions that are driven by the solar dynamo. On smaller scales of roughly $10^{-2}\,R_\odot$, the magnetic field becomes fragmented into the so-called supergranular network (Gabriel, 1976; Leighton et al., 1962). Below that is the convective-scale granulation, with rapidly evolving cell-like structures ranging between 10^{-4} and $10^{-3}\,R_\odot$ in size. It is still not known whether the supergranulation is a manifestation of convective instability (i.e., just a "deeper" kind of granulation), or whether it arises from other processes (see, e.g., Hanasoge and Sreenivasan, 2014; Rast, 2003).

Figure 1 is a simplified illustration of how we believe the complex multi-scale magnetic field is organized in regions that feed the solar wind. The most fundamental building blocks appear to be the *G-band bright points*, i.e., thin flux tubes with field strengths of 1000–2000 G and diameters

of 50–200 km that are herded into the dark downflow lanes between the granulation cells (Berger and Title, 2001). These flux tubes appear to be oriented in a nearly vertical direction, and they undergo cross-sectional expansion as the field strength decreases with increasing height. Their filling factor (i.e., the fraction of the solar surface they cover) grows from values less than 0.1–1% in the photosphere to a near volume-filling unity in the low corona. These features seem to be the ultimate "footpoints" of nearly all larger-scale coronal magnetic structures. Thus, the nature of their magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) variability is necessarily of prime interest when attempting to understand the evolution and dynamics of the coronal magnetic field.

Intergranular bright-point flux tubes are jostled continuously by the evolution of surrounding granules. Isolated flux tubes undergo a random-walk type of motion (van Ballegooijen et al., 1998), and occasionally one sees discrete jumps that may indicate the merging or fragmenting of unresolved components (Berger et al., 1998). Some bright points execute classical Brownian (i.e., erratic and diffusive) random-walk motions, but others appear to move in a more vortex-like or rotational manner (see, e.g., Yang et al., 2014). In the so-called thin-tube limit (Spruit, 1981) it is possible to decompose most observed bright-point motions into a superposition of transverse, incompressible kink modes. Cranmer and van Ballegooijen (2005) showed how these kink modes gradually change their character to match the classical transverse Alfvén wave when the thin tubes become volume-filling at larger heights. Inside the flux tubes, the upward energy flux of Alfvén waves has been inferred to be about 3×10^5 W m^{-2}. However, after taking into account the small filling factors, the *surface-averaged* photospheric flux is only about 2000 W m^{-2} (Cranmer and van Ballegooijen, 2005). Nevertheless, this appears to be more than sufficient to balance radiative losses in open-field regions (e.g., Withbroe and Noyes, 1977).

In addition to the above idea of magnetic flux tubes being buffeted and shuffled by granular motions, these bundles of magnetic field are also continuously emerging from below the photosphere. Away from active regions, much of this emergence takes the form of bipolar "ephemeral regions" (Hagenaar et al., 2008; Harvey and Martin, 1973). The individual poles of these regions tend to be advected to the edges of supergranular cells, where they coalesce to form the chromospheric network. Along the way, a combination of diffusive shuffling, tangling, and magnetic reconnection with neighboring regions helps to maintain the system in a dynamical steady state (e.g., Schrijver et al., 1997). We discuss the energetic consequences of this emergence and cancellation for coronal heating in Section 4.

There is some debate concerning the turbulent nature of the photospheric magnetic elements. High-resolution movies of individual granules often convey the appearance of quite "laminar" overturning motions. The kinetic energy spectrum of horizontal bright-point motions is continuous in frequency space (e.g., Chitta et al., 2012; Cranmer and van Ballegooijen, 2005), but it does not necessarily show the power-law behavior expected from an active cascade. Time-dependent MHD simulations of the chromosphere and corona (see Section 3 below) typically do not need there to be fully turbulent motions injected at the lower boundary, since a rapid cascade develops naturally above the photosphere. However, it is difficult to avoid the fact that the deep convection zone—host to the highly nonlinear solar dynamo—must be strongly turbulent (Miesch, 2005). Observations of the spatial diffusion of magnetic elements in the photosphere also show statistical properties similar to those seen in simulations of turbulent diffusion (Abramenko et al., 2011; Petrovay, 2001). Further elucidation will likely come from the next generation of high-resolution solar observations, such as the Daniel K. Inouye Solar Telescope (DKIST; see Rimmele et al., 2012) and the Coronal Solar Magnetism Observatory (COSMO; see Tomczyk et al., 2013).

3. Waves and turbulence in the open-field corona

The idea that the solar atmosphere is heated by the dissipation of upward-propagating waves has been studied for more than a half century (e.g., Osterbrock, 1961). This remains a compelling explanation—especially for the open-field regions that connect to the solar wind—because the energy responsible for coronal heating must somehow propagate up to the heights where the heat is deposited into the plasma. *In situ* measurements have shown that the fast solar wind contains

a dominant population of Alfvén waves (Belcher and Davis, 1971) that propagate mostly away from the Sun. However, there has been uncertainty about whether Alfvén waves generated in the photosphere can damp out rapidly enough in the low corona to provide the required heating (see, e.g., Parker, 1991).

The last few decades have seen a gradual refinement in models that make use of waves and turbulence to power the solar wind (Hollweg, 1986; Matthaeus et al., 1999; Velli et al., 1991). The convection-driven jostling of flux-tube footpoints (see Section 2) may give rise to a range of MHD wave modes, but it is likely that the incompressible Alfvén mode undergoes the least amount of viscous and conductive damping to become the dominant wave type in the upper chromosphere and low corona. Alfvén waves that propagate along a flux tube with a radially varying Alfvén speed undergo partial reflection back toward the Sun (Heinemann and Olbert, 1980; Velli, 1993). The existence of counter-propagating wave packets—even if only a small fraction of the energy is coming back down—allows a nonlinear MHD turbulent cascade to develop (Dobrowolny et al., 1980; Iroshnikov, 1963; Kraichnan, 1965; Velli et al., 1989). The steady-state cascade rate of strong, imbalanced, and anisotropic MHD turbulence determines the rate of dissipation, and thus the rate of coronal heating (see also Chandran et al., 2009; Coburn et al., 2015; Hossain et al., 1995; Lithwick et al., 2007; Oughton et al., 2015).

Cranmer et al. (2007) described a project to build realistic, time-steady, and one-fluid models of wave/turbulence-driven coronal heating in open magnetic flux tubes. In this numerical code, called ZEPHYR, the rate of partial wave reflection is computed from linearized non-WKB transport equations. The rate of wave dissipation (and plasma heating) is computed from expressions derived from a wide range of models of strong Alfvénic cascade with variable cross helicity (see, e.g., Dmitruk et al., 2002). Once the turbulence physics is specified, the only freely adjustable parameters in ZEPHYR are the photospheric lower boundary conditions and the radial dependence of the flux tube's magnetic field. Cranmer et al. (2007) found that a fixed choice for the photospheric wave properties yielded a realistic range of slow and fast solar wind conditions. More recently, this model has been extended to include a broader range of magnetic configurations (Cranmer et al., 2013; Woolsey and Cranmer, 2014) in order to explore how the properties of the solar wind depend on the corona's "superradial" flux-tube expansion (see also Chandran et al., 2011; Lionello et al., 2014). Also, Cranmer (2014b) showed that wave/turbulence models can reproduce *in situ* ion charge states quite well if electron heat conduction in the low corona is treated realistically (i.e., following the non-classical development of weakly collisional suprathermal tails).

Despite the successes of time-steady models, they do not self-consistently simulate the actual process of MHD turbulent cascade at the heart of the proposed coronal heating scenario. Thus, fully time-dependent and three-dimensional models of reduced MHD turbulence were developed by van Ballegooijen et al. (2011). This simulation code, called BRAID, follows the development of turbulence in a flux tube rooted in the photosphere and driven by slow laminar *internal* motions.[1] Turbulence develops rapidly in the chromosphere and is transmitted into the corona (see cross sections shown in Figure 1) despite the large degree of reflection encountered at the sharp transition region. The time-dependent models constructed so far (see also Asgari-Targhi et al., 2013) have largely validated the phenomenological cascade rate prescriptions used by ZEPHYR. van Ballegooijen et al. (2014) used the BRAID code to conclude that a family of quasi-static "direct current" (DC) models of coronal heating should be ruled out. They found that waves and turbulence can give rise to a strongly dynamical type of twisting/braiding of coronal field lines, and this appears to be consistent with recent ultra-high-resolution imagery (Cirtain et al., 2013).

Figure 2 shows a preliminary comparison between the results of ZEPHYR and BRAID for a model of a polar coronal hole. The strongly fluctuating plasma parameters computed by BRAID

[1] Although we focus on the insights gained from the BRAID code, we also note that many other high-quality numerical simulations of coronal turbulence have been performed (see, e.g., Buchlin and Velli, 2007; Dahlburg et al., 2012; Einaudi et al., 1996; Nigro et al., 2004; Perez and Chandran, 2013; Rappazzo et al., 2007). This is a vibrant community, and the diversity of techniques and perspectives has surely helped us all to better understand this complex system.



Figure 2. Comparison of the height variation of (a) Alfvén wave velocity amplitudes, expressed as Elsasser variables of upward $Z_{\rm up}$ and downward $Z_{\rm down}$ wave energy, and (b) the turbulent heating rate, from BRAID (red curves) and ZEPHYR (blue curves). Also shown are observational data for Alfvén wave amplitudes, converted into Elsasser variables under the assumption that nearly all the energy is in $Z_{\rm up}$: black X's (Banerjee et al., 1998), black squares (Landi and Cranmer, 2009), green region (Esser et al., 1999), yellow region (Hahn and Savin, 2013).

were averaged in time and truncated at a height of 2 $R_\odot$ above the photosphere (above which it was assumed no incoming waves enter the system). There are similarities and differences between the two models that still need to be digested and understood. Note from Figure 2(a) that there is some tension between different observational determinations of the Alfvén wave velocity amplitude at heights of 0.3–0.6 $R_\odot$ above the photosphere. Both Esser et al. (1999) and Hahn and Savin (2013) analyzed the nonthermal broadening of ultraviolet emission lines observed above the solar limb and interpreted them in terms of unresolved Doppler "sloshing" due to Alfvén waves. However, there is not enough information in the data to fully specify the full set of ion temperatures and wave amplitudes for any given collection of emission lines. These studies used different sets of assumptions—and different data analysis techniques—to estimate the wave amplitudes. It is still an open question whether the strong damping inferred by Hahn and Savin (2013) actually exists.

4. Magnetic reconnection in the open-field corona

It is clear from observations of the Sun's rapidly evolving "magnetic carpet" (Title and Schrijver, 1998) that much of the energy released in the low corona is due to complex interactions between neighboring loop-like concentrations of magnetic flux. It is difficult to see any way around the idea that *magnetic reconnection* is going on continuously in the corona and converting magnetic free energy into heat. Additionally, many of the open flux tubes that reach into the heliosphere are rooted in the magnetic carpet, and their footpoints are often in close proximity to the rapidly evolving closed loops. Thus, it is natural to propose that some of the mass and energy of the solar

wind is injected from closed to open flux tubes by reconnection (see, e.g., Axford and McKenzie, 1992; Fisk et al., 1999; Moore et al., 2011; Rappazzo et al., 2012).[2]

The idea of a reconnection-driven solar wind is relevant to a discussion of coronal turbulence, since the two phenomena (turbulence and reconnection) are nearly always seen in tandem. On the one hand, the rate of driven reconnection may be modulated—or even determined—by the properties of "background" turbulence (Lazarian et al., 2015). On the other hand, the dissipation of an MHD cascade is likely to be governed by the behavior of spontaneously formed reconnection regions on kinetic scales (e.g., Matthaeus et al., 2015; Osman et al., 2011). The situation is complicated by the fact that the Poynting flux associated with the emergence of closed-loop regions (which presumably drive the reconnection) is seen to be roughly 10^3 W m^{-2} (Fisk et al., 1999), which is of the same order of magnitude as the Alfvén wave energy flux discussed above. Thus, it would not be surprising if the corona were heated by an inseparable combination of wave/turbulence dissipation and magnetic reconnection.

Observations of coronal plumes and jets provide evidence for the existence of discrete reconnection events in open-field regions (Shibata et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2014), However, these bright and narrow features are identifiable in images because they appear to occupy a small fraction of the coronal volume at any one time. We thus need to determine how much of the solar wind's total mass and energy is fed by these events. This is related to finding a way to measure the fraction of the corona's total ongoing reconnection that goes specifically into opening up previously closed fields. Cranmer and van Ballegooijen (2010) studied these issues with Monte Carlo simulations of the time-varying magnetic carpet and its connection to the large-scale coronal field. These models showed that reconnection and loop-opening processes on supergranular scales may be responsible for the observed jets, but probably not for the majority of "bulk" solar wind acceleration. The Cranmer and van Ballegooijen (2010) models were limited in scope because they approximated the evolving corona as a succession of potential-field states, but subsequent non-potential models (e.g., Chitta et al., 2014) have found similar results.

Recently there have been several other studies of how magnetic reconnection may *indirectly* affect the energization of solar wind plasma even if it does not provide the bulk of the thermal energy. Bursty reconnection events may be an additional source of MHD waves in the corona (Hollweg, 2006; Lynch et al., 2014). Even if reconnection does not send a substantial energy flux into the solar wind, it may help to "fill in" the low-frequency part of the Alfvénic fluctuation spectrum. Periods longer than about 30 minutes are inferred to exist from the *in situ* data but are not found in models that rely only on granular buffeting in the photosphere. An alternate set of ideas was suggested by Antiochos et al. (2011), who highlighted the existence of topologically complex corridors of open fields rooted in distorted *quasi-separatrix layers*. These regions trace out a convoluted boundary between open and closed field regions, and the forcing of the magnetic carpet leads to its continual rearrangement. Reconnection-driven loop opening is likely to occur in these regions, and Antiochos et al. (2011) suggested this "separatrix web" is a major driver of the chaotic and dense slow wind.

5. Physical insights from ultraviolet spectroscopy

Many important clues about the physical processes responsible for heating and accelerating the solar wind have come from ultraviolet spectroscopy. Because of the rapid decrease in density with increasing height, Coulomb collisions become infrequent in the extended corona.[3] Thus, at a sufficiently large height, each ion species may end up with a different temperature and flow speed, and may even exhibit its own unique type of departure from an isotropic Maxwellian

[2]Reconnection is also believed to be a dominant process in driving coronal heating in the primarily *closed-field corona* of active regions and the quiet Sun, but that topic is slightly beyond the scope of this review (see, e.g, Klimchuk, 2006; Longcope, 2004; Parker, 1988; Parnell and De Moortel, 2012; Priest et al., 2002).

[3]The term "extended corona" is meant to describe heights above a few tenths of a solar radius above the photosphere, at which coronagraphic occultation of the bright disk becomes necessary. This region also tends to coincide with the main "acceleration region" of the solar wind.

velocity distribution. This makes the collisionless outer corona a key place to discriminate between different theories, since each process is likely to suggest different rates of energization as a function of ion charge and mass. This section provides a brief "top-ten list" of results from the Ultraviolet Coronagraph Spectrometer (UVCS; see Kohl et al., 1997, 2006) that have helped to elucidate the properties of waves and turbulence in the extended corona. UVCS operated aboard the *Solar and Heliospheric Observatory* (*SOHO*) spacecraft from its launch in December 1995 until the instrument's shutdown in January 2013. Other useful reviews of the UVCS mission include those by Noci et al. (1997), Huber (2010), and Antonucci et al. (2012).

(a) Fast wind from coronal holes

1. UVCS observations of the bright O VI 103.2, 103.7 nm emission lines indicated that the associated O^{+5} ions are much more strongly heated than protons in polar coronal holes. Detailed analysis of the line profile shapes and intensity ratios point to perpendicular temperatures $T_\perp \approx 2 \times 10^8$ K, roughly two orders of magnitude hotter than the protons, and large temperature anisotropy ratios $T_\perp/T_\parallel$ possibly greater than 10 (Cranmer et al., 1999, 2008; Kohl et al., 1997). Measured kinetic temperatures of both O^{+5} and Mg^{+9} ions are greater than "mass-proportional" when compared with protons, with $T_i/T_p > m_i/m_p$. These conditions are reminiscent of the predicted properties of *ion cyclotron resonance* in a collisionless plasma. Thus, the UVCS results helped to drive a resurgence of interest in this mechanism in the fast wind (see, e.g., Cranmer, 2014a; Gary, 2015; Hollweg and Isenberg, 2002; Marsch, 2006).
2. UVCS line profile measurements in coronal holes also led to the conclusion that the fast solar wind is accelerated closer to the Sun than was believed in prior decades. This kind of determination is made possible by the fact that resonantly excited lines undergo "Doppler dimming," i.e., they are sensitive to motions transverse to the line of sight and thus mostly parallel to the off-limb magnetic field. Strachan et al. (1993) used sounding rocket data to infer a supersonic speed ($v \approx 200$ km s^{-1} at $r \approx 2\,R_\odot$) for protons in a coronal hole. UVCS then made it possible to determine that the outflow velocity for O^{+5} ions grows even faster than the outflow velocity for protons. By $r \approx 3$ to 3.5 $R_\odot$, the heavy ions are flowing faster than the "bulk" solar wind by as much as a factor of two (Antonucci et al., 2000; Cranmer et al., 1999, 2008; Kohl et al., 1997).
3. In polar coronal holes, the densest concentrations of bright plumes are seen to have higher densities, lower temperatures, and lower outflow speeds than the dimmer "interplume" regions (e.g,. Giordano et al., 2000; Kohl et al., 1997; Wilhelm et al., 2011). Many impulsive polar jets have similar properties as the longer-lived plumes (Dobrzycka et al., 2002). However, there may be observational selection effects at work, since the UVCS counterparts to jets first identified by *Hinode* at X-ray wavelengths seem to show hotter protons than in the surrounding regions (Miralles et al., 2007). Even if the coronal response at large heights is variable, the ultraviolet observations of jets appear to be consistent with models (Wang, 1994) in which a short burst of heating occurs at the base then fades away with time. These features may be a prime example of regions in which both reconnection and turbulence are acting together.
4. UVCS measured the properties of other types of coronal holes that appear and disappear at various times throughout the 11-year solar cycle. Miralles et al. (2001) found that large *equatorial holes* undergo more gradual solar wind acceleration than the polar holes, but they both eventually reach high speeds ($v > 600$ km s^{-1}) at 1 AU. Statistical studies of coronal holes at all latitudes show a strong correlation between O^{+5} temperatures and outflow speeds (Miralles et al., 2004, 2010), indicating that the preferential perpendicular heating is tightly coupled with strong differential acceleration of heavy ions.

(b) Slow wind from streamers

5. Much of the low-speed solar wind appears to be associated with bright *helmet streamers*. White-light images show most streamers having a closed-field "core" surrounded by "legs" that are open to the solar wind, often topped by a converged radial "stalk." UVCS Doppler dimming measurements at solar minimum (Strachan et al., 2002) revealed the legs of large equatorial streamers to be a primary site of slow-wind-like outflow, whereas their large central cores did not show signs of bulk acceleration. Above the largest height of closed-field loops, O^{+5} ions in streamer stalks were seen to have similar preferential heating characteristics as their cousins in coronal holes (Frazin et al., 2003). Also, Strachan et al. (2012) found some key correlations in streamers between UVCS plasma parameters and the relative rates of magnetic flux-tube expansion, which Wang and Sheeley (1990) suggested could be a controlling factor in solar wind acceleration. These correlations must be confronted and explained by any successful model; for an initial comparison of this type, see Abbo et al. (2010).
6. Raymond et al. (1997) found that the elemental abundances of heavy ions in streamer legs match those seen *in situ* in the slow wind. However, in the closed-field cores the abundances are depleted by more than an order of magnitude (Raymond, 1999; Vásquez and Raymond, 2005). This has been cited as evidence for gravitational settling, which begins to occur when Coulomb collisions are not fast enough to keep the ions mixed with the dominant hydrogen plasma. Because the settling effect alone would have depleted the abundances by much more than is seen, however, Raymond (1999) suggested that streamers are likely to host some additional process that continuously mixes "fresh" plasma into the streamer core. Coulomb drag and interchange reconnection may contribute to the cross-field mixing, but turbulence is also a strong candidate. Ubiquitous nonthermal line widths of order 30 km s^{-1} in the low corona point to Alfvén-like fluctuations as either the means of additional spatial transport, or as a source of turbulent pressure that could support the ions in a non-hydrostatic equilibrium.
7. UVCS measurements of non-equatorial streamers have shown significant variation in their plasma properties. Some mid-latitude streamers associated with active regions were seen to have lower ion temperatures, but higher electron temperatures, than large "quiescent" solar-minimum streamers (Frazin et al., 1999; Parenti et al., 2000; Ventura et al., 2005). The reasons for these differences may be explainable with a newer classification that is based on magnetic polarity: classical streamers with opposite-polarity footpoints (topped by null-point cusps) appear to have distinct properties from the so-called *pseudostreamers* with like-polarity footpoints (see, e.g., Wang et al., 2012). Efforts are underway to identify the remote-sensing and *in situ* data that best distinguishes these structures from one another and identifies the relevant physical properties at work (Miralles et al., 2014).

(c) Coronal mass ejections

8. Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are magnetically driven eruptions that are believed to involve the expansion of twisted "flux ropes" into the heliosphere. UVCS spectroscopy provided the first real diagnostics of the physical conditions in CME plasmas as they accelerate through the corona. Specifically, UVCS data helped to determine that CMEs must undergo substantial heating (Murphy et al., 2011; Raymond, 2008), and in some cases the input thermal energy even exceeds the bulk kinetic energy. It is possible that similar kinds of turbulent cascade and dissipation occur in both CMEs and the background solar wind (Liu et al., 2006), or that these highly twisted, non-potential structures may dissipate energy via turbulent hyperdiffusion (van Ballegooijen and Cranmer, 2008). In any case, measurements of CME total energy budgets are key constraints to determining the dominant processes at work in these events.

9. Many models of CME formation predict the development of an elongated *current sheet* trailing behind the flux rope (Lin et al., 2008). When these reconnecting features exist, there may be significant mass and energy injection into the CME's outer sheath. UVCS observed these current sheets in the emission of high-ionization species such as Fe^{+16}, Fe^{+17}, and Ca^{+13} (Ciaravella et al., 2002; Ko et al., 2010; Raymond, 2008). In some cases, the width of the current sheet was found to be consistent with an anomalously large resistivity (e.g., Bemporad, 2008; Lin et al., 2009), which may also be consistent with theories of turbulent reconnection (Lazarian et al., 2015).
10. In a few events, UVCS detected the presence of a supra-Alfvénic shock in front of a fast CME (e.g., Mancuso et al., 2002; Raymond et al., 2000). Independent measurements of the shock speed and electron density (from Type II radio bursts) allow the coronal Alfvén speed, shock Mach number, and compression ratio to be determined. Also, the rapid broadening of some emission lines seen by UVCS indicated preferential ion heating and relatively weak electron heating, which has been predicted in some kinetic models of collisionless shocks (Lee and Wu, 2000).

6. Conclusions

The goal of this paper has been to review the progress made in recent years in viewing the processes of coronal heating and solar wind acceleration through the lens of MHD turbulence. There are viable models that can explain the energization of the open-field plasma as a result of the dissipation of propagating waves and turbulent eddies. There are also (somewhat less developed, but still plausible) models that invoke magnetic reconnection and the opening up of closed loops into the heliosphere. Despite several decades of useful observational constraints, we still have not yet pinpointed what specific measurements will convincingly identify the dominant physical processes. Nevertheless, it is clear that telescopes have not yet achieved the necessary spatial and time resolution to see how the most fundamental structures (e.g., flux tubes, turbulent wave packets) exchange energy in the solar atmosphere. Also, we realize that there is a pressing need to bridge the "field-of-view gap" between the historically separated domains of remote sensing and *in situ* exploration. Inner heliospheric missions like *Solar Probe Plus* (Fox et al., 2013) and *Solar Orbiter* (Müller et al., 2013) will lead this charge in the coming decade (see also Goldstein et al., 2015). We also hope that next-generation ultraviolet coronagraph spectrometers (e.g., Kohl et al., 2008) may be developed in order to follow up on the successes of UVCS and extend the remote-sensing field of view to larger heights.

From a theoretical viewpoint, one physical process that deserves additional attention is *compressibility*. Many of the models discussed above have been limited to the mainly Alfvénic, low plasma β limit in which density fluctuations are either unimportant or completely "passive." However, off-limb observations show that compressive fluctuations propagate up in open-field regions, along with Alfvén waves (e.g., Krishna Prasad et al., 2012; Threlfall et al., 2013). The passage of Comet Lovejoy through the low corona revealed the existence of sharp density striations (Raymond et al., 2014), and the relevant cross-field length scales are of the same order of magnitude as the expected turbulence correlation length. There have also been time-dependent MHD simulations in one (Suzuki and Inutsuka, 2006) and two (Matsumoto and Suzuki, 2014) dimensions that show Alfvén waves being converted efficiently into fast or slow compressive modes. Although the restricted dimensionality of these models is likely to be preventing the most efficient mode of reduced-MHD cascade from occurring (see, e.g., Howes, 2015), there may be regions of the corona that do support this kind of mode conversion.

Lastly, we believe it is worthwhile to summarize how an understanding of turbulent physics in the corona is important in a broader context. A clear practical benefit from a better model of solar wind acceleration is the possibility of improving long-term space weather forecasts (e.g., Eastwood, 2008). A different technological connection—involving the phenomenon of ion cyclotron resonance—may bear fruit in new designs for ion-based rocket propulsion systems (Chang Díaz, 2001). Of course, identifying and characterizing the physical processes at work in the

solar corona is useful in establishing a baseline of knowledge that can be applied to other stars and more distant astrophysical systems. For example, plasma heating from an MHD turbulent cascade has been suggested as being relevant to models of the interstellar medium (Haverkorn and Spangler, 2013), T Tauri stars (Cranmer, 2008), exoplanet atmospheres (Tanaka et al., 2013), and accretion disks around compact objects (Medvedev, 2000).

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge Adriaan van Ballegooijen and John Kohl for valuable contributions to this research. SRC's work was supported by NASA grants NNX10AC11G and NNX14AG99G, and NSF SHINE program grant AGS-1259519. MPM's work was supported by NASA grants NNX06AG95G, NNX09AH22G, and NNX10AQ58G. The *SOHO* mission is a project of international cooperation between NASA and ESA.

References

Abbo, L., Antonucci, E., Mikic, Z., et al. 2010, "Characterization of the slow wind in the outer corona," *Adv. Space Res.*, 46, 1400.

Abramenko, V. I., Carbone, V., Yurchyshyn, V., et al. 2011, "Turbulent diffusion in the photosphere as derived from photospheric bright point motion," *Astrophys. J.*, 743, 133.

Antiochos, S. K., Mikić, Z., Titov, V., et al. 2011, "A model for the sources of the slow solar wind," *Astrophys. J.*, 731, 112.

Antonucci, E., Abbo, L., and Telloni, D. 2012, "UVCS Observations of Temperature and Velocity Profiles in Coronal Holes," *Space Sci. Rev.* 172, 5.

Antonucci, E., Dodero, M. A., and Giordano, S. 2000, "Fast Solar Wind Velocity in a Polar Coronal Hole during Solar Minimum," *Solar Phys.*, 197, 115.

Asgari-Targhi, M., van Ballegooijen, A. A., Cranmer, S. R., et al. 2013, "The spatial and temporal dependence of coronal heating by Alfvén wave turbulence," *Astrophys. J.*, 773, 111.

Axford, W. I., and McKenzie, J. F. 1992, "The origin of high speed solar wind streams," in *Solar Wind Seven*, ed. E. Marsch and R. Schwenn (New York: Pergamon), 1.

Banerjee, D., Teriaca, L., Doyle, J. G., and Wilhelm, K. 1998, "Broadening of SI VIII lines observed in the solar polar coronal holes," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 339, 208.

Belcher, J. W., and Davis, L., Jr. 1971, "Large-amplitude Alfvén waves in the interplanetary medium, 2," *J. Geophys. Res.*, 76, 3534.

Bemporad, A. 2008, "Spectroscopic detection of turbulence in post-CME current sheets," *Astrophys. J.*, 689, 572.

Berger, T. E., Löfdahl, M. G., Shine, R. S., et al. 1998, "Measurements of solar magnetic element motion from high-resolution filtergrams," *Astrophys. J.*, 495, 973.

Berger, T. E., and Title, A. M. 2001, "On the relation of G-band bright points to the photospheric magnetic field," *Astrophys. J.*, 553, 449.

Buchlin, E., and Velli, M. 2007, "Shell Models of RMHD Turbulence and the Heating of Solar Coronal Loops," *Astrophys. J.*, 662, 701.

Chandran, B. D. G., Dennis, T. J., Quataert, E., et al. 2011, "Incorporating kinetic physics into a two-fluid solar-wind model with temperature anisotropy and low-frequency Alfvén-wave turbulence," *Astrophys. J.*, 743, 197.

Chandran, B. D. G., Quataert, E., Howes, G. G., et al. 2009, "The Turbulent Heating Rate in Strong Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence with Nonzero Cross Helicity," *Astrophys. J.*, 701, 652.

Chang Díaz, F. R. 2001, "An overview of the VASIMR engine: High power space propulsion with RF plasma generation and heating," in *Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas*, AIP Conf. Proc. 595, (New York: AIP Press), 3.

Chitta, L. P., Kariyappa, R., van Ballegooijen, A. A., et al. 2014, "Nonlinear force-free field modeling of the solar magnetic carpet and comparison with SDO/HMI and Sunrise/IMaX observations," *Astrophys. J.*, 793, 112.

Chitta, L. P., van Ballegooijen, A. A., Rouppe van der Voort, L., et al. 2012, "Dynamics of the solar magnetic bright points derived from their horizontal motions," *Astrophys. J.,* 752, 48.

Ciaravella, A., Raymond, J. C., Li, J., et al. 2002, "Elemental abundances and post-coronal mass ejection current sheet in a very hot active region," *Astrophys. J.,* 575, 1116.

Cirtain, J. W., Golub, L., Winebarger, A. R., et al. 2013, "Energy release in the solar corona from spatially resolved magnetic braids," *Nature,* 493, 501.

Coburn, J. T., Forman, M. A., Smith, C. W., et al. 2015, "Third-Moment Descriptions of the Interplanetary Turbulent Cascade, Intermittency, and Back Transfer," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.

Cranmer, S. R. 2008, "Turbulence-driven polar winds from T Tauri stars energized by magnetospheric accretion," *Astrophys. J.,* 689, 316.

Cranmer, S. R. 2014a, "Ensemble simulations of proton heating in the solar wind via turbulence and ion cyclotron resonance," *Astrophys. J. Suppl.,* 213, 16.

Cranmer, S. R. 2014b, "Suprathermal electrons in the solar corona: Can nonlocal transport explain heliospheric charge states?" *Astrophys. J.,* 791, L31.

Cranmer, S. R., Kohl, J. L., Noci, G., et al. 1999, "An empirical model of a polar coronal hole at solar minimum," *Astrophys. J.,* 511, 481.

Cranmer, S. R., Panasyuk, A. V., and Kohl, J. L. 2008, "Improved constraints on the preferential heating and acceleration of oxygen ions in the extended solar corona," *Astrophys. J.,* 678, 1480.

Cranmer, S. R., and van Ballegooijen, A. A. 2005, "On the generation, propagation, and reflection of Alfvén waves from the solar photosphere to the distant heliosphere," *Astrophys. J. Suppl.,* 156, 265.

Cranmer, S. R., and van Ballegooijen, A. A. 2010, "Can the solar wind be driven by magnetic reconnection in the Sun's magnetic carpet?" *Astrophys. J.,* 720, 824.

Cranmer, S. R., van Ballegooijen, A. A., and Edgar, R. J. 2007, "Self-consistent coronal heating and solar wind acceleration from anisotropic magnetohydrodynamic turbulence," *Astrophys. J. Suppl.,* 171, 520.

Cranmer, S. R., van Ballegooijen, A. A., and Woolsey, L. N. 2013, "Connecting the Sun's high-resolution magnetic carpet to the turbulent heliosphere," *Astrophys. J.,* 767, 125.

Dahlburg, R. B., Einaudi, G., Rappazzo, A. F., and Velli, M. 2012, "Turbulent coronal heating mechanisms: coupling of dynamics and thermodynamics," *Astron. Astrophys.,* 544, L20.

Dmitruk, P., Matthaeus, W. H., Milano, L. J., et al. 2002, "Coronal heating distribution due to low-frequency, wave-driven turbulence," *Astrophys. J.,* 575, 571.

Dobrowolny, M. and Mangeney, A. and Veltri, P. 1980, "Fully developed anisotropic hydromagnetic turbulence in interplanetary space," *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* 45, 144.

Dobrzycka, D., Cranmer, S. R., Raymond, J. C., et al. 2002, "Polar coronal jets at solar minimum," *Astrophys. J.,* 565, 621.

Eastwood, J. P. 2008, "The science of space weather," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* 366, 4489.

Einaudi, G., Velli, M., Politano, H., et al. 1996, "Energy Release in a Turbulent Corona," *Astrophys. J.,* 457, L113.

Esser, R., Fineschi, S., Dobrzycka, D., et al. 1999, "Plasma properties in coronal holes derived from measurements of minor ion spectral lines and polarized white light intensity," *Astrophys. J.,* 510, L63.

Fisk, L. A., Schwadron, N. A., and Zurbuchen, T. H. 1999, "Acceleration of the fast solar wind by the emergence of new magnetic flux," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 104, 19765.

Fox, N. J., Bale, S. D., Decker, R. B., et al. 2013, "Solar Probe Plus: A NASA mission to touch the Sun," *Eos Trans. AGU,* Fall 2013 Meet. Suppl., abstract SM53A–2207.

Frazin, R. A., Ciaravella, A., Dennis, E., et al. 1999, "UVCS/SOHO ion kinetics in coronal streamers," *Space Sci. Rev.* 87, 189.

Frazin, R. A., Cranmer, S. R., and Kohl, J. L. 2003, "Empirically determined anisotropic velocity distributions and outflows of O^{5+} ions in a coronal streamer at solar minimum," *Astrophys. J.,* 597, 1145.

Gabriel, A. H. 1967, "A magnetic model of the solar transition region," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* 281, 339.

Gary, S. P. 2015, "Short-Wavelength Turbulence and Temperature Anisotropy Instabilities: Recent Computational Progress," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.

Giordano, S., Antonucci, E., Noci, G., et al. 2000, "Identification of the coronal sources of the fast solar wind," *Astrophys. J.,* 531, L79.

Goldstein, M. L., Wicks, R. T., Perri, S., and Sahraoui, F. 2015, "Kinetic scale turbulence and dissipation in the solar wind: Key observational results and future outlook," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.

Hagenaar, H. J., De Rosa, M. L., and Schrijver, C. J. 2008, "The Dependence of Ephemeral Region Emergence on Local Flux Imbalance," *Astrophys. J.,* 678, 541.

Hahn, M., and Savin, D. W. 2013, "Observational quantification of the energy dissipated by Alfvén waves in a polar coronal hole: Evidence that waves drive the fast solar wind," *Astrophys. J.,* 776, 78.

Hanasoge, S. M., and Sreenivasan, K. R. 2014, "The quest to understand supergranulation and large-scale convection in the Sun," *Solar Phys.,* 289, 3403.

Harvey, K. L., and Martin, S. F. 1973, "Ephemeral Active Regions," *Solar Phys.,* 32, 389.

Haverkorn, M., and Spangler, S. R. 2013, "Plasma diagnostics of the interstellar medium with radio astronomy," *Space Sci. Rev.* 178, 483.

Heinemann, M., and Olbert, S. 1980, "Non-WKB Alfvén waves in the solar wind," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 85, 1311.

Hoeksema, J. T., and Scherrer, P. H. 1986, "An atlas of photospheric magnetic field observations and computed coronal magnetic fields: 1976–1985," *Solar Phys.,* 105, 205.

Hollweg, J. V. 1986, "Transition region, corona, and solar wind in coronal holes," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 91, 4111.

Hollweg, J. V. 2006, "Drivers of the solar wind: Then and now," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* 364, 505.

Hollweg, J. V., and Isenberg, P. A. 2002, "Generation of the fast solar wind: A review with emphasis on the resonant cyclotron interaction," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 107, 1147.

Hossain, M., Gray, P. C., Pontius, D. H., Jr., et al. 1995, "Phenomenology for the decay of energy-containing eddies in homogeneous MHD turbulence," *Phys. Fluids,* 7, 2886.

Howes, G. G. 2015, "A dynamical model of plasma turbulence in the solar wind," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.

Huber, M. C. E. 2010, "The Role of Harvard College Observatory and UVCS in the Development of SOHO," in *SOHO-23: Understanding a Peculiar Solar Minimum,* ASP Conf. Ser. 428, 15.

Hufbauer, K. 1991, *Exploring the Sun: Solar Science Since Galileo* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press).

Iroshnikov, P. S. 1963, "Turbulence of a conducting fluid in a strong magnetic field," *Astron. Zhurnal,* 40, 742.

Klimchuk, J. A. 2006, "On solving the coronal heating problem," *Solar Phys.,* 234, 41.

Ko, Y.-K., Raymond, J. C., Vršnak, B., et al. 2010, "Modeling UV and X-ray emission in a post-coronal mass ejection current sheet," *Astrophys. J.,* 722, 625.

Kohl, J. L., Jain, R., Cranmer, S. R., et al. 2008, "Next generation UV coronagraph instrumentation for solar cycle 24," J. Astrophys. Astron., 29, 321.

Kohl, J. L., Noci, G., Antonucci, E., et al. 1997, "First results from the SOHO Ultraviolet Coronagraph Spectrometer," *Solar Phys.,* 175, 613.

Kohl, J. L., Noci, G., Cranmer, S. R., and Raymond, J. C. 2006, "Ultraviolet spectroscopy of the extended solar corona," *Astron. Astrophys. Review,* 13, 31.

Kraichnan, R. H. 1965, "Inertial-Range Spectrum of Hydromagnetic Turbulence," *Phys. Fluids,* 8, 1385.

Krishna Prasad, S., Banerjee, D., Van Doorsselaere, T., and Singh, J. 2012, "Omnipresent long-period intensity oscillations in open coronal structures," *Astron. Astrophys.,* 546, A50.

Landi, E., and Cranmer, S. R. 2009, "Ion Temperatures in the Low Solar Corona: Polar Coronal Holes at Solar Minimum," *Astrophys. J.,* 691, 794.

Lazarian, A., Eyink, G., Vishniac, E., and Kowal, G. 2015, "Turbulent reconnection and its implications," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.

Leighton, R. B., Noyes, R. W., and Simon, G. W. 1962, "Velocity Fields in the Solar Atmosphere, I, Preliminary Report," *Astrophys. J.,* 135, 474.
Lee, L. C., and Wu, B. H. 2000, "Heating and Acceleration of Protons and Minor Ions by Fast Shocks in the Solar Corona," *Astrophys. J.,* 535, 1014.
Lin, J., Cranmer, S. R., and Farrugia, C. J. 2008, "Plasmoids in reconnecting current sheets: Solar and terrestrial contexts compared," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 113, A11107.
Lin, J., Li, J., Ko, Y.-K., et al. 2009, "Investigation of thickness and electrical resistivity of the current sheets in solar eruptions," *Astrophys. J.,* 693, 1666.
Lionello, R., Velli, M., Downs, C., et al. 2014, "Validating a time-dependent turbulence-driven model of the solar wind," *Astrophys. J.,* 784, 120.
Lithwick, Y., Goldreich, P., and Sridhar, S. 2007, "Imbalanced strong MHD turbulence," *Astrophys. J.,* 655, 269.
Liu, Y., Richardson, J. D., Belcher, J. W., et al. 2006, "Thermodynamic structure of collision-dominated expanding plasma: Heating of interplanetary coronal mass ejections," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 111, A01102.
Longcope, D. W. 2004, "Quantifying magnetic reconnection and the heat it generates," in *SOHO-15: Coronal Heating,* ed. R. W. Walsh, J. Ireland, D. Danesy, and B. Fleck (Noordwijk, ESA), ESA SP-575, 198.
Lynch, B. J., Edmondson, J. K., and Li, Y. 2014, "Interchange reconnection Alfvén wave generation," *Solar Phys.,* 289, 3043.
Mancuso, S., Raymond, J. C., Kohl, J. L., et al. 2002, "UVCS/SOHO observations of a CME-driven shock: Consequences on ion heating mechanisms behind a coronal shock," *Astron. Astrophys.,* 383, 267.
Marsch, E. 2006, "Kinetic physics of the solar corona and solar wind," *Living Rev. Solar Phys.,* 3, 1.
Matsumoto, T., and Suzuki, T. K. 2014, "Connecting the Sun and the solar wind: the self-consistent transition of heating mechanisms," *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.,* 440, 971.
Matthaeus, W. H., Wan, M., Servidio, S., et al. 2015, "Intermittency, Nonlinear Dynamics, and Dissipation in the Solar Wind and Astrophysical Plasmas," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A,* this issue.
Matthaeus, W. H., Zank, G. P., Oughton, S., et al. 1999, "Coronal heating by magnetohydrodynamic turbulence driven by reflected low-frequency waves," *Astrophys. J.,* 523, L93.
Medvedev, M. V. 2000, "Particle heating by nonlinear Alfvénic turbulence in advection-dominated accretion flows." *Astrophys. J.,* 541, 811.
Miesch, M. S. 2005, "Large-Scale Dynamics of the Convection Zone and Tachocline," *Living Rev. Solar Phys.,* 2, 1.
Miralles, M. P., Cranmer, S. R., and Kohl, J. L. 2004, "Low-latitude coronal holes during solar maximum," *Adv. Space Res.,* 33, 696.
Miralles, M. P., Cranmer, S. R., Panasyuk, A. V., et al. 2001, "Comparison of Empirical Models for Polar and Equatorial Coronal Holes," *Astrophys. J.,* 549, L257.
Miralles, M. P., Cranmer, S. R., Panasyuk, A. V., et al. 2010, "The Tale of Two Minima and a Solar Cycle in Between: An Ongoing Fast Solar Wind Investigation," in *SOHO-23: Understanding a Peculiar Solar Minimum,* ASP Conf. Series 428 (San Francisco: Astron. Soc. Pacific), 237.
Miralles, M. P., Cranmer, S. R., Raymond, J. C., et al. 2007, "Polar coronal jets during the 2007 joint SOHO/Hinode campaigns," *Eos Trans. AGU,* 88 (52), Fall Meeting Suppl., abstract SH21B-02.
Miralles, M. P., Cranmer, S. R., and Stenborg, G. A. 2014, "Untangling Coronal Streamers from Pseudostreamers," *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.,* AAS meeting 224, abstract 323.56.
Moore, R. L., Sterling, A. C., Cirtain, J. W., et al. 2011, "Solar X-Ray Jets, Type II Spicules, Granule-size Emerging Bipoles, and the Genesis of the Heliosphere," *Astrophys. J.,* 731, L18.
Müller, D., Marsden, R. G., St. Cyr, O. C., et al. 2013, "Solar Orbiter: Exploring the Sun-Heliosphere Connection," *Solar Phys.,* 285, 25.
Murphy, N. A., Raymond, J. C., and Korreck, K. E. 2011, "Plasma Heating During a Coronal Mass Ejection Observed By the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory," *Astrophys. J.,* 735, 17.
Neugebauer, M., and Snyder, C. W. 1966, "Mariner 2 Observations of the Solar Wind, 1, Average Properties," *J. Geophys. Res.,* 71, 4469.

Nigro, G., Malara, F., Carbone, V., et al. 2004, "Nanoflares and MHD Turbulence in Coronal Loops: A Hybrid Shell Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92, 194501.

Noci, G., Kohl, J. L., Antonucci, E., et al. 1997, "First Results from UVCS/SOHO," *Adv. Space Res.*, 20, 2219.

Osman, K. T., Matthaeus, W. H., Greco, A., et al. 2011, "Evidence for inhomogeneous heating in the solar wind," *Astrophys. J.*, 727, L11.

Osterbrock, D. E. 1961, "The Heating of the Solar Chromosphere, Plages, and Corona by Magnetohydrodynamic Waves," *Astrophys. J.*, 134, 347.

Oughton, S., Matthaeus, W. H., Wan, M., and Osman, K. T. 2015, "Anisotropy in solar wind plasma turbulence," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, this issue.

Parenti, S., Bromage, B. J. I., Poletto, G., et al. 2000, "Characteristics of solar coronal streamers: Element abundance, temperature and density from coordinated CDS and UVCS SOHO observations," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 363, 800.

Parker, E. N. 1958, "Dynamics of the interplanetary gas and magnetic fields," *Astrophys. J.*, 128, 664.

Parker, E. N. 1988, "Nanoflares and the solar X-ray corona," *Astrophys. J.*, 330, 474.

Parker, E. N. 1991, "Heating solar coronal holes," *Astrophys. J.*, 372, 719.

Parker, E. N. 2001, "A history of early work on the heliospheric magnetic field," *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 15797.

Parnell, C. E., and De Moortel, I. 2012, "A contemporary view of coronal heating," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 370, 3217.

Perez, J. C., and Chandran, B. D. G. 2013, "Direct numerical simulations of reflection-driven, reduced magnetohydrodynamic turbulence from the Sun to the Alfvén critical point," *Astrophys. J.*, 776, 124.

Peter, H. 2001, "On the nature of the transition region from the chromosphere to the corona of the Sun," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 374, 1108.

Petrovay, K. 2001, "Turbulence in the solar photosphere," *Space Sci. Rev.* 95, 9.

Priest, E. R., Heyvaerts, J. F., and Title, A. M. 2002, "A flux-tube tectonics model for solar coronal heating driven by the magnetic carpet," *Astrophys. J.*, 576, 533.

Rappazzo, A. F., Matthaeus, W. H., Ruffolo, D., et al. 2012, "Interchange reconnection in a turbulent corona," *Astrophys. J.*, 758, L14.

Rappazzo, A. F., Velli, M., Einaudi, G., et al. 2007, "Coronal Heating, Weak MHD Turbulence, and Scaling Laws," *Astrophys. J.*, 657, L47.

Rast, M. P. 2003, "The scales of granulation, mesogranulation, and supergranulation," *Astrophys. J.*, 597, 1200.

Raymond, J. C. 1999, "Composition variations in the solar corona and solar wind," *Space Sci. Rev.* 87, 55.

Raymond, J. C. 2008, "UV diagnostics for the energy budget of flares and CMEs," *J. Astrophys. Astron.*, 29, 187.

Raymond, J. C., Kohl, J. L., Noci, G., et al. 1997, "Composition of coronal streamers from the SOHO Ultraviolet Coronagraph Spectrometer," *Solar Phys.*, 175, 645.

Raymond, J. C., McCauley, P. I., Cranmer, S. R., and Downs, C. 2014, "The Solar Corona as Probed by Comet Lovejoy (C/2011 W3)," *Astrophys. J.*, 788, 152.

Raymond, J. C., Thompson, B. J., St. Cyr, O. C., et al. 2000, "SOHO and radio observations of a CME shock wave," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 1439.

Rimmele, T. R., Keil, S., McMullin, J., et al. 2012, "Construction of the Advanced Technology Solar Telescope," in *The Second ATST–EAST Meeting: Magnetic Fields from the Photosphere to the Corona*, ASP Conf. Series 463 (San Francisco: Astron. Soc. Pacific), 377.

Schrijver, C. J., Title, A. M., van Ballegooijen, A. A., et al. 1997, "Sustaining the Quiet Photospheric Network: The Balance of Flux Emergence, Fragmentation, Merging, and Cancellation," *Astrophys. J.*, 487, 424.

Shibata, K., Nakamura, T., Matsumoto, T., et al. 2007, "Chromospheric anemone jets as evidence of ubiquitous reconnection," *Science*, 318, 1591.

Spruit, H. C. 1981, "Motion of magnetic flux tubes in the solar convection zone and chromosphere," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 98, 155.

Strachan, L., Kohl, J. L., Weiser, H., et al. 1993, "A Doppler dimming determination of coronal outflow velocity," *Astrophys. J.*, 412, 410.

Strachan, L., Panasyuk, A. V., Kohl, J. L., et al. 2012, "The Evolution of Plasma Parameters on a Coronal Source Surface at 2.3 $R_\odot$ during Solar Minimum," *Astrophys. J.*, 745, 51.

Strachan, L., Suleiman, R., Panasyuk, A. V., et al. 2002, "Empirical Densities, Kinetic Temperatures, and Outflow Velocities in the Equatorial Streamer Belt at Solar Minimum," *Astrophys. J.*, 571, 1008.

Suzuki, T. K., and Inutsuka, S.-I. 2006, "Solar winds driven by nonlinear low-frequency Alfvén waves from the photosphere: Parametric study for fast/slow winds and disappearance of solar winds," *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, A06101.

Tanaka, Y. A., Suzuki, T. K., and Inutsuka, S.-I. 2013, "Atmospheric escape by magnetically driven wind from gaseous planets," *Astrophys. J.*, 792, 18.

Threlfall, J., De Moortel, I., McIntosh, S. W., et al. 2013, "First Comparison of Wave Observations from CoMP and AIA/SDO," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 556, A124.

Tian, H., DeLuca, E., Cranmer, S. R., et al. 2014, "Prevalence of Small-scale Jets from the Networks of the Solar Transition Region and Chromosphere," *Science,* 346, 1255711.

Tian, H., Tu, C-.Y., Marsch, E., et al. 2010, "Upflows in the upper transition region of the quiet Sun," in *Twelfth International Solar Wind Conference,* AIP Conf. Proc. 1216, (New York: AIP Press), 36.

Title, A. M., and Schrijver, C. J. 1998, "The Sun's magnetic carpet," in *10th Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun,* ASP Conf. Series 154, ed. R. Donahue and J. Bookbinder (San Francisco: Astron. Soc. Pacific), 345.

Tomczyk, S., Gallagher, D., Wu, Z., et al. 2013, "The COronal Solar Magnetism Observatory (COSMO) Large Aperture Coronagraph," *Geophys. Res. Abstracts,* 15, EGU2013-12573.

van Ballegooijen, A. A., Asgari-Targhi, M., Cranmer, S. R., and DeLuca, E. 2011, "Heating of the Solar Chromosphere and Corona by Alfvén Wave Turbulence," *Astrophys. J.*, 736, 3.

van Ballegooijen, A. A., Asgari-Targhi, M., and Berger, M. A. 2014, "On the Relationship Between Photospheric Footpoint Motions and Coronal Heating in Solar Active Regions," *Astrophys. J.*, 787, 87.

van Ballegooijen, A. A., and Cranmer, S. R. 2008, "Hyperdiffusion as a Mechanism for Solar Coronal Heating," *Astrophys. J.*, 682, 644.

van Ballegooijen, A. A., Nisenson, P., Noyes, R. W., et al. 1998, "Dynamics of Magnetic Flux Elements in the Solar Photosphere," *Astrophys. J.*, 509, 435.

Vásquez, A. M., and Raymond, J. C. 2005, "Oxygen abundance in coronal streamers," *Astrophys. J.*, 619, 1132.

Velli, M. 1993, "On the propagation of ideal, linear Alfvén waves in radially stratified stellar atmospheres and winds," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 270, 304.

Velli, M., Grappin, R., and Mangeney, A. 1989, "Turbulent cascade of incompressible unidirectional Alfvén waves in the interplanetary medium," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 63, 1807.

Velli, M., Grappin, R., and Mangeney, A. 1991, "Waves from the Sun?" *Geoph. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, 62, 101.

Ventura, R., Spadaro, D., Cimino, G., et al. 2005, "Streamers and adjacent regions observed by UVCS/SOHO: A comparison between different phases of solar activity," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 430, 701.

Wang, Y.-M. 1994, "Polar plumes and the solar wind," *Astrophys. J.*, 435, L153.

Wang, Y.-M., Grappin, R., Robbrecht, E., et al. 2012, "On the Nature of the Solar Wind from Coronal Pseudostreamers," *Astrophys. J.*, 749, 182.

Wang, Y.-M., and Sheeley, N. R., Jr., 1990, "Solar wind speed and coronal flux-tube expansion," *Astrophys. J.*, 355, 726.

Wiegelmann, T., Lagg, A., Solanki, S. K., et al. 2005, "Comparing magnetic field extrapolations with measurements of magnetic loops," *Astron. Astrophys.*, 433, 701.

Wilhelm, K., Abbo, L., Auchère, F., et al. 2011, "Morphology, dynamics and plasma parameters of plumes and inter-plume regions in solar coronal holes," *Astron. Astrophys. Review,* 19, 35.

Withbroe, G. L., and Noyes, R. W. 1977, "Mass and energy flow in the solar chromosphere and corona," *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.,* 15, 363.

Woolsey, L. N., and Cranmer, S. R. 2014, "Turbulence-driven Coronal Heating and Improvements to Empirical Forecasting of the Solar Wind," *Astrophys. J.,* 787, 160.

Yang, Y.-F., Qu, H.-X., Ji, K.-F., et al. 2014, "Characterizing motion types of G-band bright points in the quiet Sun," *Research in Astron. Astrophys.,* in press, arXiv:1407.7958.